



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,088	07/21/2006	Shu Kobayashi	1680/54	9535
25297 7590 11/16/2010 JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A. 3100 Tower Blvd. Suite 1200 DURHAM, NC 27707				
EXAMINER				
MABRY, JOHN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1625				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/16/2010		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/587,088	KOBAYASHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JOHN MABRY	1625	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) JOHN MABRY

(2) Amy Odenbaugh

**Date of Interview:** 8 November 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*potential anticipation rejections based of Applicants' US filing dated*

Claims discussed:  
 3

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Bolm et al Chem. Ber. 1992, 125, 1169-1190 and search report - see Supplemental Content in e-DAN*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 12:12 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
In order to expedite the prosecution of this case, Examiner had telephonic conversation with Attorney Arles Taylor on 10/22/10 and 10/26/10 and communicated that rejections of claim 1 were overcome and are in condition for allowance. Examiner asked did Applicant wanted rejoinder of claim 3; however, there was anticipatory art that could be used against claim 3. Attorney Taylor notified Examiner that Applicant wanted to rejoin claim 3 and submitted amended set of claims dated 10/26/10.

After an additional search of the rejoined and amended claims dated 10/26/10, Examiner called and left message with Attorney Arles Taylor on 11/4/10 to discussed that Applicant should perfect the priority filing date regarding the foreign application filed on 6/10/08. On 11/8/10, Agent Amy Odenbaugh (attny/agent of record) contacted Examiner. Ms. Odenbaugh notified Examiner that she consulted with her client and that a certified English translation will be submitted the following week in order to overcome anticipatory art found in additional search.